

# Interview Summary

Application No.

08 786,549

Examiner

Carlos A. Azpuru

Applicant(s)

PRIEWE ET AL.

Art Unit

1615

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT, or personnel):

(1) Carlos A. Azpuru.

(2) James G. Ruland.

Date of Interview: 8/27/03

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: N/A

Identification of prior art discussed: Goldstein, Clark et al., Knapp et al. 6,234,649

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where appropriate, the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side of on attached sheet.

Applicability of In re Baird was discussed. It was agreed that this case was more pertinent than In re Dillon. The facts of the case with respect to the wording "preferably or optimally" will be compared to that of the instant case.

The selection of the preferred cyanoacrylates was also discussed. Applicant argues that the products of the biodegradation of the claimed polymers are bioactive as antiproliferative agents. Support for this has not been determined. If supported, applicant may submit a declaration showing this unexpected property.

Examiner's signature, if required